

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Pharmaceutical Advertising 2016

13th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into pharmaceutical advertising

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

A. Lopes Muniz Advogados Associados

Adams & Adams

Ambruz & Dark Deloitte Legal

Arnold & Porter LLP

Arthur Cox

Baker & McKenzie

Biolato Longo Ridola & Mori

Bird & Bird LLP

Boga & Associates

Clayton Utz

Clifford Chance

Cooley

Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Dentons Europe CS LLP

Fogler, Rubinoff LLP

Gün + Partners

Haavind

Herbst Kinsky Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Jones Day

Jusmedico Advokatanpartsselskab

KG Law Firm

LCH Law Compliance Health

Life Sciences Legal

Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå

Marić, Mališić & Dostanić OAD

Nishimura & Asahi

OLIVARES

Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law

Roschier, Attorneys Ltd.

Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Stibbe

Stratulat Albulescu

Subramaniam & Associates





global legal group

Contributing Editor
Ian Dodds-Smith, Arnold &
Porter (UK) LLP

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Directors Oliver Smith, Rory Smith

Sales Support Manager Toni Hayward

Editor

Caroline Collingwood

Senior Editor Rachel Williams

Chief Operating Officer Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor Alan Falach

Group Publisher Richard Firth

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd. 59 Tanner Street London SE1 3PL, UK Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 Fax: +44 20 7407 5255 Email: info@glgroup.co.uk URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source iStockphoto

Printed by

Stephens & George Print Group June 2016

Copyright © 2016 Global Legal Group Ltd. All rights reserved No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-01-7 ISSN 1743-3363

Strategic Partners





General Chapters:

1 Early Access to Innovative Medicinal Products: The Current State of Play in the EU –
Jackie Mulryne & Abeba Habtemariam, Arnold & Porter LLP
2 m-Health Applications; Legal Framework and Advertising – Sally Shorthose, Bird & Bird LLP
8 Legal Issues Concerning Implantable Communicating Medical Devices – John Wilkinson &
Nicola Maguire, Cooley

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

4	Albania	Boga & Associates: Renata Leka & Elona Xhepa	20
5	Australia	Clayton Utz: Colin Loveday & Greg Williams	27
6	Austria	Herbst Kinsky Rechtsanwälte GmbH: Dr. Sonja Hebenstreit & Dr. Isabel Funk-Leisch	39
7	Belgium	Stibbe: Manuel Campolini & Olivia Hottat	51
8	Brazil	A. Lopes Muniz Advogados Associados: Marcos Lobo de Freitas Levy & Mariana Carneiro Lopes Muniz	60
9	Canada	Fogler, Rubinoff LLP: Bill Hearn	69
10	China	Jones Day: Chiang Ling Li & Haifeng Huang	86
11	Czech Republic	Ambruz & Dark Deloitte Legal: Filip Vrubel & Daniela Rrahmaniová	96
12	Denmark	Jusmedico Advokatanpartsselskab: Jan Bjerrum Bach & Lone Hertz	104
13	England & Wales	Arnold & Porter (UK) LLP: Silvia Valverde & Adela Williams	119
14	Finland	Roschier, Attorneys Ltd.: Mikael Segercrantz & Johanna Lilja	133
15	France	LCH Law Compliance Health: Laure Le Calvé & Johanna Benichou	144
16	Germany	Clifford Chance: Dr. Peter Dieners & Jan Szemjonneck	153
17	Greece	KG Law Firm: Irene Kyriakides & Nefelie Charalabopoulou	166
18	India	Subramaniam & Associates: Hari Subramaniam & Aditi Subramaniam	175
19	Ireland	Arthur Cox: Colin Kavanagh & Ciara Farrell	185
20	Italy	Biolato Longo Ridola & Mori: Linda Longo & Benedetta Muscaritoli	197
21	Japan	Nishimura & Asahi: Somuku Iimura & Yoko Kasai	210
22	Kosovo	Boga & Associates: Besarta Kllokoqi & Delvina Nallbani	219
23	Mexico	OLIVARES: Alejandro Luna Fandiño & Armando Arenas Reyes	227
24	Netherlands	Life Sciences Legal: mr. ir. Anke E. Heezius	238
25	Norway	Haavind: Håkon Austdal & Vebjørn Krag Iversen	246
26	Poland	Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak: Dr. Ewa Skrzydło-Tefelska & Mikołaj Skowronek	256
27	Portugal	Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira: Rita Roque de Pinho & Joana Silveira Botelho	265
28	Romania	Stratulat Albulescu: Delia Belciu	274
29	Serbia	Marić, Mališić & Dostanić OAD: Rastko Mališić	283
30	Slovakia	Dentons Europe CS LLP: Zuzana Šimeková & Zuzana Farkašová	291
31	South Africa	Adams & Adams: Alexis Apostolidis & Sophia Czarnocki	299
32	Spain	Baker & McKenzie: Cecilia Pastor & Ester Navas	309
33	Sweden	Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå: Helén Waxberg & Jenny Bergström	321
34	Switzerland	Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law: Dr. Lorenza Ferrari Hofer & Lukas Herforth	332
35	Turkey	Gün + Partners: Özge Atılgan Karakulak & Ceren Aral	341
36	USA	Arnold & Porter LLP: Daniel A. Kracov & Mahnu V. Davar	353

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice.

Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Turkey



Özge Atılgan Karakulak



Gün + Partners

Ceren Aral

1 General - Medicinal Products

I.1 What laws and codes of practice govern the advertising of medicinal products in your jurisdiction?

In Turkey, advertising of medicines is governed by the Pharmaceutical and Medical Preparation Law No. 1262 (the Law No. 1262) and the Regulation on Promotional Activities of Medicinal Products for Human Use (the Promotion Regulation), which is based on the former.

The Promotion Regulation was published on July 3, 2015 by replacing the former Promotion Regulation of August 26, 2011. The enforcement of some of the Articles is postponed until January 2019. There are Guidelines on Applications for Scientific Meetings and Product Promotion Meetings, Guidelines on Delivery on Product Samples and Application for Press Releases, Guidelines on Sessions on Rational Use of Medicinal Products and Guidelines on Disclosure of Value Transfers Made Under the Promotion Regulation).

The Promotion Regulation prohibits advertising of medicinal products to the general public and governs the interactions of pharmaceutical companies with HCPs that is referred to as promotion within the text.

The Act on Protection of Consumers, Regulation on Commercial Advertisements and Unfair Commercial Practices and Code of Obligations are applicable where the matter is not regulated under the Law No. 1262 or the Promotion Regulation. Also, the Supreme Council of Radio and Television (RTUK) is authorised to conduct examinations for radio and television broadcasts regarding the determination of advertisements that breach the principles set out in the Law on Establishment and Broadcasting of Radio and Television Institutions No. 6112 (the RTUK Law). As per Article 11/2 of the RTUK Law, no advertisements for prescribed medical products or treatments can be broadcasted.

The industry associations in Turkey i.e., the Association of Research-Based Pharmaceutical Companies (AIFD), Pharmaceutical Industry Association of Turkey (TISD), and Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of Turkey (IEIS), have their own Codes of Promotional Practices, complementary to the applicable provisions.

1.2 How is "advertising" defined?

Article 4/1(j) of the Promotion Regulation prefers the wording of promotion rather than advertising and the relevant definition is as follows:

"All informative activities organized by marketing authorization/ permit holders or in the name or with the name, upon the request, with the contribution or support of marketing authorization/permit holders on the medical-scientific characteristics of pharmaceutical products for human use covered by this Regulation, as well as the activities of product promotion representatives within this framework, advertisements published in medical or professional books or journals, announcements made through direct mailing or the press, or other means of communication, and scientific/educational activities, meetings and similar events."

1.3 What arrangements are companies required to have in place to ensure compliance with the various laws and codes of practice on advertising, such as "sign off" of promotional copy requirements?

Companies have to ensure that promotion of the products for which they hold a marketing authorisation/permit (MA) is in line with the requirements set forth in the Promotion Regulation. Furthermore, Article 11 of the Promotion Regulation specifically provides the liabilities of the MA holders to ensure compliance with promotion principles. Within this scope, the companies shall:

- a) Establish a scientific unit, responsible for managing information related to their marketed products, to operate according to the guidelines, led by a qualified person who will be in charge of the operation.
- b) Submit any information and documents required by the Ministry of Health (MoH), pertinent to promotional activities.
- Retain for five years a copy each of all the promotional materials used, to submit them to the MoH upon request.
- Introduce systems to make online submissions to the MoH database in relation to sponsored educational events/ congresses.
- Ensure that any decisions adopted by the MoH with respect to promotion of products are fully implemented.

The AIFD, TISD and IEIS Codes set forth further detailed principles for any particular company in order to represent current good practice.

1.4 Are there any legal or code requirements for companies to have specific standard operating procedures (SOPs) governing advertising activities? If so, what aspects should those SOPs cover?

There is no legal or code requirements for companies to have specific SOPs. Multinational pharmaceutical companies with affiliates in Turkey tend to have tailored SOPs governing advertising activities to ensure compliance with the local requirements, complementing their global standards. Such SOPs cover not only local provisions but also local industry standards where applicable.

1.5 Must advertising be approved in advance by a regulatory or industry authority before use? If so, what is the procedure for approval? Even if there is no requirement for prior approval in all cases, can the authorities require this in some circumstances?

There is no pre-approval procedure for promotional material. However, the MoH has the authority to require any relevant information and documents at any time as per Article 11/5(b) of the Promotion Regulation.

The pre-approval procedure applies for congresses, symposia, seminars and similar meetings. If a MA holder intends to hold or partially sponsor such a meeting, this will be submitted to the MoH at least 15 working days in advance for national meetings and 30 working days in advance for international meetings, along with the content, the list of potential participants, the projected expense items and the events. A response will be given to the applicant within 10 working days after the submission is officially received, or the request will be deemed approved if no response is given. This applies for congress attendance sponsorships of HCPs and medical students as well, where all the relevant information on the sponsorship is submitted to the MoH for approval.

Also, pursuant to Article 11/2 of the Promotion Regulation, if an MA holder wishes to make a single announcement regarding the launch of a product to healthcare professionals (HCPs) through a press release, a genuine copy of the announcement shall be sent first to the MoH for approval. It should be noted that same provision sets forth that such press release does not qualify as a promotional activity.

1.6 If the authorities consider that an advertisement which has been issued is in breach of the law and/ or code of practice, do they have powers to stop the further publication of that advertisement? Can they insist on the issue of a corrective statement? Are there any rights of appeal?

According to Article 12 of the Promotion Regulation, the MoH may, ex officio or upon receipt of a complaint, inspect any promotional activity or material and the methods employed during such activities. The MoH is entitled to request the MA holder to cease, terminate or correct the information provided during promotion which is found to be non-compliant with the Promotion Regulation or deemed inappropriate for public health. Any request by the MoH to that effect should be complied with without delay.

There is no specific appeal mechanism against decisions of the MoH. However, an application requesting re-examination of a decision may be filed in accordance with the general principles of administrative law. Bringing an action to the Administrative Court demanding cancellation of the decision is another option.

Also, the Advertising Board has the authority to cease the advertising of pharmaceuticals and issue a fine to companies that act in contradiction with the applicable regulations. The Advertising Board decisions can be appealed to the Administrative Court as well.

1.7 What are the penalties for failing to comply with the rules governing the advertising of medicines? Who has responsibility for enforcement and how strictly are the rules enforced? Are there any important examples where action has been taken against pharmaceutical companies? To what extent may competitors take direct action through the courts?

Article 13 sets forth different administrative sanctions against MA holders and product representatives for different infringements.

The violation of an advertisement ban to the public including publication on the internet will be subjected to a monetary fine set forth under Law no. 1262.

In case of any breach of promotion and scientific meeting/HCP sponsorship rules of the Promotion Regulation, the MA holder will be issued a warning, and in the event of another breach within one year upon the warning, banned from engaging in promotional activities for three months. In case of another breach within one year upon the three-month suspension, the MA holder will be banned from engaging in promotional activities for one year.

Similarly, in case of any breach of the Promotion Regulation, the product representatives will be issued a warning, and in the event of another breach within one year upon the warning, banned from engaging in promotional activities for three months. In case of another breach within one year of the three month suspension, the product representative will be banned from engaging in promotional activities for one year. The MA holder associated with the product representative will also be subjected to the above-mentioned liabilities.

Also, since the RTUK Law prohibits the advertisement of medicines on radio and TV, the Advertisement Board and the RTUK Council may impose sanctions in case of non-compliance. However this is not common in practice, as medicinal products are not generally subjected to broadcast advertisements in Turkey due to specific bans on the matter.

Non-compliance may also be considered as leading to unfair competition. In this case, the general rules of the Turkish Commercial Code shall be applied and an indemnity may be claimed by the injured party depending on the damage.

If the advertising leads to public health risks, the relevant provisions of the Turkish Penal Code No. 5237 may also be applicable.

In recent years, the MoH has publicly announced monetary sanctions of 2,550,000 TL in total against six anonymous companies, publicly advertising products with a health claim, in a way to create the impression of a medicinal product.

There has not, however, been a reported action taken against or by a pharmaceutical company in relation to promotional activities since the entry into force of the Promotion Regulation. It is known that industry codes incorporate enforcement procedures allowing their member companies to raise compliance issues to be resolved through mediation or dispute resolution. Such procedures may require the association to inform the MoH where a code violation also constitutes a violation of the applicable law.

1.8 What is the relationship between any self-regulatory process and the supervisory and enforcement function of the competent authorities? Can and, in practice, do, the competent authorities investigate matters drawn to their attention that may constitute a breach of both the law and any relevant code and are already being assessed by any self-regulatory body? Do the authorities take up matters based on an adverse finding of any self-regulatory body?

The MoH's Turkish Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Institution ("The Institution") is the enforcement body in Turkey and acts solely through its Inspection Commission. The MoH does not interfere with the self-regulatory process, however it would be entitled to acknowledge matters drawn to its attention and act on them in cases of reasonable doubt, as long as the breach of a certain code also constitutes a breach of the law within the MoH's jurisdiction.

It is known that the enforcement procedures of some industry codes oblige the association to refer the matter to the MoH in case the

breach of the code also constitutes a breach of the law, ensuring the required interaction between the self-regulatory process and the competent authority.

1.9 In addition to any action based specifically upon the rules relating to advertising, what actions, if any, can be taken on the basis of unfair competition? Who may bring such an action?

According to Article 54 of the Turkish Commercial Code, all commercial acts contrary to good faith may constitute legal grounds for an unfair competition action. Article 55 provides a non-exhaustive list of examples for acts incompatible with good faith. A range of examples include: conducting commercial activities by means of incorrect, misleading declarations; reflecting discredit upon someone or someone's product; and not obeying the law and regulations applicable to all competitors.

Any commercial entity, competitor, consumer and consumer association damaged by the unfair act may bring an unfair competition action.

2 Providing Information Prior to Authorisation of Medicinal Product

2.1 To what extent is it possible to make information available to healthcare professionals about a medicine before that product is authorised? For example, may information on such medicines be discussed, or made available, at scientific meetings? Does it make a difference if the meeting is sponsored by the company responsible for the product? Is the position the same with regard to the provision of off-label information (i.e. information relating to indications and/or other product variants not authorised)?

Article 6/2 of the Promotion Regulation explicitly prohibits off-label promotion and the promotion of unauthorised products. However, exceptions of this rule are set forth under the same provision.

It is exceptionally possible to:

- discuss off-label information on authorised medicines;
- provide information on unauthorised medicines; and
- provide information on medicines that are authorised or licensed in line with the relevant regulation, but for which the Institution gives permission to be imported upon prescription as they are not available in the domestic market (except for promotion activities for the purposes of pharmacovigilance of products that are supplied through international suppliers and are purchased by the Social Security Institute within the scope of alternative reimbursement models and notified to the Agency).

Only:

- during an international congress held in Turkey; or
- as a response by the scientific service of the MA holder, to a written request made by a physician, dentist or pharmacist.

In addition to this, as per article 6(c) of the Promotion Regulation, no promotion can be made in relation to products that are authorised or licensed, however, not available in the domestic market, but imported upon prescription under permission of the Institution.

2.2 May information on unauthorised medicines and/ or off-label information be published? If so, in what circumstances?

There is no specific provision in the Promotion Regulation. However, according to Article 4.2.9 of the AIFD Code, sharing of literature comprising products and indications not yet registered/approved in Turkey is possible, as long as the referred information is provided upon the written request of the healthcare professional, the information is conveyed personally by a scientific service, it is clearly indicated on the reprint of the literature or the Turkish translation of it that the product or indication is not registered in Turkey, and the non-registered product or indication is not promoted visually or verbally during this communication.

2.3 Is it possible for companies to issue press releases about unauthorised medicines and/or off-label information? If so, what limitations apply?

The general rule requires that whether authorised or unauthorised, the medicinal products cannot be advertised publicly.

As set forth under article 11(2), if a MA holder wishes to announce the launch of a product to HCPs through a press release, a genuine copy of the announcement will be sent to the Institution for authorisation. A press release may be published only once. The size of a press release published in a newspaper may not exceed 1/8 of a full page. It is, however, important to note that such exception is not applied to unauthorised products. Therefore, press releases on unauthorised products are not possible.

2.4 May such information be sent to healthcare professionals by the company? If so, must the healthcare professional request the information?

As stated above in the answer to question 2.1, upon a physician, dentist or pharmacist's written request, information on an unauthorised product may be provided to these healthcare professionals by the scientific service of the company, as per Article 6/2 of the Promotion Regulation.

2.5 How has the ECJ judgment in the Ludwigs case, Case C-143/06, permitting manufacturers of non-approved medicinal products (i.e. products without a marketing authorisation) to make available to pharmacists price lists for such products (for named-patient/compassionate use purposes pursuant to Article 5 of the Directive), without this being treated as illegal advertising, been reflected in the legislation or practical guidance in your jurisdiction?

The ECJ judgment in the *Ludwigs* case, Case C-143/06, does not have applicability in Turkey, as Turkey is not bound with the ECJ jurisprudence, and has not impacted legislation or practical guidance in Turkey.

2.6 May information on unauthorised medicines or indications be sent to institutions to enable them to plan ahead in their budgets for products to be authorised in the future?

There are no specific rules with respect to this situation. The AIFD Code allows information on unauthorised medicines or indications

to be sent to Health Authorities and Health Insurance Boards, including the Social Security Institution, in order to shed light on the preparation of their budget for the upcoming years and their reimbursement assessments.

2.7 Is it possible for companies to involve healthcare professionals in market research exercises concerning possible launch materials for medicinal products or indications as yet unauthorised? If so, what limitations apply? Has any guideline been issued on market research of medicinal products?

Companies may enter into agreements with HCPs for consulting services including market research activities concerning launch materials for products as yet unauthorised. As a general rule specified under the AIFD Code, market research should not be promotional in nature. These studies should be conducted for the purpose of gathering information about the product for the company or competing products and carried out for scientific and educational purposes.

No guideline has been published yet for the market research of medicinal products.

3 Advertisements to Healthcare Professionals

3.1 What information must appear in advertisements directed to healthcare professionals?

The Promotion Regulation sets forth the fundamentals and principles of promotion directed to HCPs under Article 6. This Article does not introduce a piece of information that must appear in advertisements, yet it states that information shared should be accurate, provable and consistent with the information and data contained in a product's updated SmPC. Moreover, promotion should incorporate informative and factual medical data on a product's characteristics that will help HCPs establish their own opinion on a product's therapeutic value.

Pursuant to Article 6/5 of the Promotion Regulation, where the promotion involves using citations, tables or other visual materials from medical journals or other scientific publications, the material should be authentically reproduced, providing full reference to relevant sources.

3.2 Are there any restrictions on the information that may appear in an advertisement? May an advertisement refer to studies not mentioned in the SmPC?

Article 6/6 of the Promotion Regulation provides that the promotion should not be made through the use of misleading, exaggerated or unproven information, or alluring visuals not directly related to the product, which can lead to unexpected risks or encourage unnecessary use of a medicine.

In light of this provision, as well as Article 6/3 foreseeing that the promotion should be in line with data included in the SmPC, advertisements referring to studies that are not in the SmPC may not be allowed.

3.3 Are there any restrictions to the inclusion of endorsements by healthcare professionals in promotional materials?

Pursuant to Article 5/5 of the Promotion Regulation, HCPs and HCP

associations can take part or a role in medicinal product advertising only upon pre-approval of the MoH.

3.4 Is it a requirement that there be data from any, or a particular number of, "head to head" clinical trials before comparative claims may be made?

There is no specific provision or obligation in the Promotion Regulation on using data from "head to head" clinical trials, whereas such data can be used as evidence to support comparative claims based on the rules on using comparative information in general.

Under the principles of the Promotion Regulation, the comparative data should be consistent with the conditions explained in the answer to question 3.1.

The AIFD Code provides more specific rules on the use of comparative information as set forth under question 3.5.

3.5 What rules govern comparative advertisements? Is it possible to use another company's brand name as part of that comparison? Would it be possible to refer to a competitor's product or indication which had not yet been authorised in your jurisdiction?

As advertising of medicinal products to the public is altogether banned, comparative advertising to the public is not possible either. Regarding promotion to HCPs with comparative information, on the other hand, the Promotion Regulation does not present any specific provisions but the AIFD Code provides some standards.

The AIFD Code allows comparative information to be included in the promotional material to be communicated to HCPs. As per Article 7.3, comparisons between different medicinal products should comprise comparative features. Comparison can be made in a promotional material without mentioning the competing product names/marks under the following conditions: (i) it shall not be misleading; (ii) medicines or services for the same needs and purposes shall be compared; (iii) relevant, proven and significant features are compared; (iv) comparisons are not used to create confusion on purpose; (v) pejorative or derogatory statements shall not be included regarding the competing product or brand; and (vi) unfair advantage shall not be taken from the reputation of a competitor. All comparisons must be provable.

3.6 What rules govern the distribution of scientific papers and/or proceedings of congresses to healthcare professionals?

The distribution of scientific papers must be made in accordance with Articles 6/4, 6/5 and 6/6 of the Promotion Regulation. Accordingly, the information contained in the promotional documentation has to be accurate, provable, and sufficiently complete to enable the recipient to form his or her own opinion about the therapeutic value of the related medicinal product. Where the promotion involves using citations, tables or other visual materials from medical journals or other scientific publications, the material should be authentically reproduced, providing full reference to relevant sources. The companies are entitled to share publications that may be used as a source of information/data/reference by relevant parties, corresponding to such criteria.

On the other hand, as of 2015, the AIFD Code provides a much stricter rule on medical/scientific reference material and literature. Under Article 14, the transmission of informational and educational materials to healthcare professionals is permitted provided that each material; is: (i) inexpensive (real or perceived cost); (ii) directly

relevant to the practice of medicine or pharmacy services; and (iii) directly beneficial to the care of patients. The same article provides, however, a total ban on text books, medical literature and journals. These materials cannot be provided to HCPs individually and merely be granted to HCOs to be left open to all HCPs' use. Such grants shall not in nature be capable of inducing purchasing decisions.

3.7 Are "teaser" advertisements (i.e. advertisements that alert a reader to the fact that information on something new will follow, without specifying the nature of what will follow) permitted?

There is no specific provision in the Promotion Regulation. However the AIFD Code stipulates that teaser campaigns can be initiated before the grant of authorisation so long as they do not contain the trade name or INN and comply with the letter and spirit of the AIFD Code.

4 Gifts and Financial Incentives

4.1 Is it possible to provide healthcare professionals with samples of medicinal products? If so, what restrictions apply?

Yes, amongst all HCPs it is possible to provide only physicians, dentists and pharmacists with free samples of products provided that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- a) The marketing authorisation/licence holder will set up and appoint qualified persons for an adequate system of records and control for the production, importation and distribution of free promotional samples. These records will be created in accordance with the relevant recall regulations, and submitted to the Agency on request.
- b) Free samples will be reduced in size. However, this requirement will not be applied to enteral nutrition products and promotional samples of products which, for technical reasons, cannot be reduced.
- The wording, "Free promotional sample not for sale", will
 discernibly appear on the outer packaging of promotional
 samples on at least one surface. As far as printing is possible,
 the said wording will also appear on the inner packaging.
- A copy of the SmPC and the PL, if available, will be provided with the promotional sample.
- Samples may not be provided or distributed of products containing psychotropic or narcotic substances covered under international conventions, or of substances subject to national control.
- f) Samples of products included in "The List of Medicinal Products Banned from Sample Distribution", published on the Agency website, may not be provided or distributed.
- g) Free samples distributed for each product may not exceed 5% of the total annual sales in the first calendar year after it is launched, to be determined by monitoring monthly sales realisations; in the second calendar year they shall not exceed 5% of the quantity sold in the previous year; in the third, fourth and fifth calendar years they shall not exceed 3% of the quantity sold in the previous year; and after the fifth calendar year, they shall not exceed 1% of the quantity sold in the previous year. Enteral nutrition products are exempt from the quantity reduction restrictions stated in this article. Annual distribution amounts of the promotional samples of enteral nutrition products with various flavors are accepted and calculated as a single product, independent of the flavour.
- Promotional samples may not be used as an investigational product during a clinical trial.

The List of Medicinal Products Banned from Sample Distribution mentioned in sub section (d) of the article was published on the MoH website on January 27, 2016 where three categories of products are indicated as: i) the medicinal products in the additional monitoring list (corresponding to new molecules, for pharmaco-vigilance purposes); ii) biological, biotechnological and bio-similar products; and iii) products requiring special storage conditions.

The MoH's Guidelines on Delivery of Free Promotional Samples and Applications for Press Release, as per Regulation on Promotional Activities of Medicinal Products for Human Use, provides further information on delivery of samples.

4.2 Is it possible to give gifts or donations of money to healthcare professionals? If so, what restrictions apply?

As per Article 6(10) of the Regulation, no donation/grant can be made to an individual HCP. Donations and grants in cash or in kind can only be made to public institutions under certain formalities (see question 4.3). As per Article 4 of the Promotion Regulation, HCPs can individually be provided only with promotional materials (reminder gifts). Under Article 4(k) of the Promotion Regulation, monetary value of these reminder gifts cannot exceed 2.5% of the minimum monthly gross wage, corresponding to approximately 10 euros.

The EFPIA member AIFD sets forth, however, a total ban on reminder gifts. Under Article 14.2 of the AIFD Code, which is in line with the EFPIA Code, no gift or pecuniary advantage (in cash or benefit in kind) or any material which may be perceived as an inducement in relation with a promotion or for prescribing, procuring, administering, recommending the administration of or selling or buying a prescription drug or possessing the qualities of a gift, may be supplied, offered or promised to a HCP.

4.3 Is it possible to give gifts or donations of money to healthcare organisations such as hospitals? Is it possible to donate equipment, or to fund the cost of medical or technical services (such as the cost of a nurse, or the cost of laboratory analyses)? If so, what restrictions would apply?

As per Article 6/10 of the Promotion Regulation, MA holders may donate to public healthcare institutions or organisations, and non-profit healthcare agencies, institutions and organisations if the following conditions are met:

- Tender decisions concerning products within the scope of this Regulation are not influenced, unfair competition is not caused.
- The donation does not lead to any unethical transaction which may be associated with any purchase of products.
- c) The donation does not encourage prescribing of a specific product.
- d) The intention is always to improve either research, training, health or care given to patients.
- The donation will be utilised not by any individual person, but the entire organisation or institution.
- Only the name of the marketing authorisation/licence holder, and not of the product, will appear on the donated materials.
- g) The donation is entered in the official books of the marketing authorisation/licence holder.
- Any donation of medicinal products, laboratory kits or similar items for use in clinical research is made directly to the principal investigator.

It is, therefore, possible to make a donation in cash or kind or service, as long as the above conditions are met.

Article 6/11 adds that healthcare institutions and organisations may only accept donations upon permission from their headquarters, or in line with the relevant guidelines issued by their headquarters.

Under article 11/7, if the donation to healthcare organisations such as hospitals relates to a value exceeding 10% of current gross monthly minimum wage, the MA must notify the Institution of this value transfer made within one calendar year, within the first six months of the following year. Also, the examples of all data and documents pertaining to the value transfer will be retained by the MA holder for five years.

The AIFD Code adopts the above-mentioned conditions for donations set forth under the Promotion Regulation and provides for public disclosure of donations made to healthcare organisations under Chapter 22 of the AIFD Code. Please refer to section 7 for further information.

4.4 Is it possible to provide medical or educational goods and services to healthcare professionals that could lead to changes in prescribing patterns? For example, would there be any objection to the provision of such goods or services if they could lead either to the expansion of the market for, or an increased market share for, the products of the provider of the goods or services?

Medical or educational goods and services can be provided to institutions, ultimately for the use of HCPs in general, within the context explained in the answer to question 4.3 and this cannot be associated with prescribing decisions or product purchase. Also, promotional materials shall only be appropriate and of a nature to serve the aim of helping HCPs in forming their opinions on a product's therapeutic value, and not the aim of market expansion.

4.5 Do the rules on advertising and inducements permit the offer of a volume-related discount to institutions purchasing medicinal products? If so, what types of arrangements are permitted?

Discount arrangements are not in the scope of the Promotion Regulation as they are regarded as commercial terms. In practice, pharmaceutical companies make volume-related discounts. These kinds of arrangements have to be clearly identified, invoiced and taxed, and compliant with general rules including competition law. More specifically, these discounts should not be attached to any kind of condition which ties an institution to certain medicinal products, eliminates the right of the institution to choose the most competitive company or excludes competitors if companies are in a dominant position in the relevant market.

4.6 Is it possible to offer to provide, or to pay for, additional medical or technical services or equipment where this is contingent on the purchase of medicinal products? If so, what conditions would need to be observed?

The Promotion Regulation strictly regulates that no benefits, whether cash or in kind, may be provided, offered or promised during promotion of medicines to physicians, dentists or pharmacists. Likewise, these HCPs are prohibited from accepting or requesting

any inducement during the course of such promotional activities pursuant to Article 6/8. On the other hand, as for institutions, the question may be open to interpretation. Such arrangements may be interpreted within the scope of contractual freedom, however they must be carefully evaluated from promotion, competition and tender law perspectives.

4.7 Is it possible to offer a refund scheme if the product does not work? If so, what conditions would need to be observed? Does it make a difference whether the product is a prescription-only medicine, or an overthe-counter medicine?

According to the Regulation on Retraction of Products, defective or suspected to be defective products have to be retracted or withdrawn by companies or the MoH. Companies are liable for damages to the interested parties resulting from the retraction or withdrawal of a product. It does not make a difference whether the product is a prescription-only medicine or an OTC product.

On the other hand, the advertising of prescription-only medicines direct to consumers is prohibited. Companies must avoid a refund scheme being considered as an inducement, and therefore restrictions with respect to incentives and the general rules on product liability will need to be considered.

4.8 May pharmaceutical companies sponsor continuing medical education? If so, what rules apply?

Pharmaceutical companies may sponsor continuing medical education, however, under certain rules and limitations set forth under Article 7 of the Promotion Regulation.

The Guidelines on Applications for Scientific Meetings and Product Promotion Meetings set out two types of meetings; one being the "scientific meeting" where all content is strictly scientific with no product promotion; and the second one being the "product promotion meeting", where there is also promotion of pharmaceuticals.

The MA holders shall not bear the transportation and accommodation expenses of the doctors and HCPs who will attend educational activities. This means that the companies may sponsor HCP attendance to scientific events but not to product promotion meetings.

For company-sponsored HCP scientific meeting/congress attendance, on the other hand, the Regulation presents a quota limitation commonly referred to as 4-2-2 rule, to avoid excessive interaction. Accordingly, an HCP can annually receive a maximum of four congress sponsorships from the industry. A maximum of two of these sponsorships may come from the same company, and two, may be an international congress.

The companies are obliged to submit all the details in relation to HCP congress sponsorship to MoH's online database and obtain approval before the attendance takes place. There is a second round of submission after the attendance as well.

On the other hand, it is not possible to make individual grants to particular HCPs via sponsorship of medical education (ex. Masters programme). Medical education can be supported in general without any specification to certain HCPs.

Product promotion meetings shall only be organised by the MA holders. Whereas scientific meetings may be directed to the students, product promotion meetings may not be directed to medical students.

5 Hospitality and Related Payments

5.1 What rules govern the offering of hospitality to healthcare professionals? Does it make a difference if the hospitality offered to those healthcare professionals will take place in another country and, in those circumstances, should the arrangements be approved by the company affiliate in the country where the healthcare professionals reside or the affiliate where the hospitality takes place? Is there a threshold applicable to the costs of hospitality or meals provided to a healthcare professional?

Hospitality is not specifically regulated by the Promotion Regulation. The Regulation does not introduce maximum limits for hospitality, such as meals provided to HCPs. As a general rule, such costs shall be at reasonable levels. The hospitality provided shall never be of a nature capable of overshadowing the scientific purpose of meetings.

If hospitality is provided as a part of an event like a congress of a scientific meeting, it shall be disclosed to the MoH within the programme of the event as part of the pre-approval process. Under the general disclosure rule, where the hospitality relates to a value exceeding 10% of current gross monthly minimum wage, the MA must notify the Institution.

There are some specific rules on the appropriate time and location for educational events/congresses. As provided under Article 7, no meeting can be held or sponsored by MA holders at seaside resorts or skiing resorts during the high season, except for international meetings that are held each time in a different country. This may be considered to apply to hospitality offerings as well.

The above-mentioned rules apply for HCPs in Turkey for hospitality provided both in Turkey and abroad. The Turkish affiliate of the company is therefore advised to submit to the MoH all the required information on:

- an event organised/sponsored in Turkey either by a Turkish or global affiliate;
- sponsorship of an HCP from Turkey to attend an event in Turkey or abroad, by a Turkish or global affiliate; and
- sponsorship of a foreign HCP to attend an event in Turkey, by a Turkish or global affiliate.

In line with the EFPIA rules, the AIFD Code sets forth maximum limits for hospitality. Meals (food and beverages) offered to HCPs during business-related outings and other occasions mentioned in the Code shall not exceed per person per meal the monetary threshold of €60 per person per meal, excluding VAT. If the offered hospitality will take place in a different country, the maximum limits indicated in the relevant EFPIA member national code of that country will become applicable.

5.2 Is it possible to pay for a healthcare professional in connection with attending a scientific meeting? If so, what may be paid for? Is it possible to pay for his expenses (travel, accommodation, enrolment fees)? Is it possible to pay him for his time?

MA holders cannot pay HCPs to attend scientific meetings, however they may sponsor their attendance by covering their travel, accommodation and enrolment costs within the rules and limitations set forth under Article 7 of the Promotion Regulation, as explained in detail under question 4.8. While covering such costs, no direct payments can be made to HCPs – the payments shall be made to the organising company.

The time of the HCP can only be paid in the form of a fee reflecting a fair market value where the HCP provides services, such as training the attending HCPs or making a speech or a presentation.

All the meeting attendance sponsorship and all fees for services above the mentioned limits must be disclosed to the MoH under the general disclosure rules.

5.3 To what extent will a pharmaceutical company be held responsible by the regulatory authorities for the contents of, and the hospitality arrangements for, scientific meetings, either meetings directly sponsored or organised by the company or independent meetings in respect of which a pharmaceutical company may provide sponsorship to individual healthcare professionals to attend?

Companies will be directly responsible for the scientific meetings they organise. They also have to make sure – mostly through contractual means – that sponsored third party meetings fulfil the requirements set forth by the Promotion Regulation, since the MoH will be entitled to hold the sponsoring company responsible as well.

The Guidelines on Applications for Scientific and Educational Meetings requires the content and programme of the organised/sponsored meetings, including hospitality arrangements, to be submitted online to the MoH during the pre-approval stage.

5.4 Is it possible to pay healthcare professionals to provide expert services (e.g. participating in advisory boards)? If so, what restrictions apply?

It is possible to conclude service agreements with HCPs where expert services are provided, including participation in advisory boards.

Under the general rules, there must be a real need for the services to be provided related to the expertise of the HCP, a written agreement must be made, the payment must reflect a fair market value and the appropriate financial documentation must be produced.

Restrictions apply based on whether the HCP is a public official or not. With the latest version of the so-called "Full Time Law" of 2014, physicians working in state hospitals and state university hospitals can only work for and under the command of their state institution and cannot conduct any private services on their own, including service agreements with pharmaceutical companies. Accordingly, companies may enter into service agreements with such HCPs only with the approval of the HCP's institution, and as long as the fee for service will be paid to the revolving fund of the institution itself. The HCP will receive a part of the payment from the institution through the revolving fund based on the institution's internal payment schemes. The above restrictions do not apply for fee-for-service agreements conducted with HCPs working in the private sector or though their private clinic, and only the above-mentioned general rules apply.

As will be explained in detail in the answer to section 7, in line with article 11/7, if the fee for service paid to the HCP relates to a value exceeding 10% of current gross monthly minimum wage, the MA must notify the Agency of this value transfer made within one calendar year, within the first six months of the following year.

In line with the EFPIA requirements, AIFD members are also required to keep track of the data on service agreements and the transfers of value to HCPs thereof, to be disclosed publicly in the following year.

Accordingly, transparency and disclosure is another issue to be considered for payments made to HCPs in return for services obtained.

5.5 Is it possible to pay healthcare professionals to take part in post-marketing surveillance studies? What rules govern such studies?

Our explanations in the answer to question 5.4 should also apply here. Additionally, even though there is no specific provision regulating post-marketing surveillance studies in the Promotion Regulation, we refer to the general rule in the AIFD Code that post-marketing studies shall not be carried out to influence physicians or as promotion disguised as research.

5.6 Is it possible to pay healthcare professionals to take part in market research involving promotional materials?

There is no specific provision dealing with this issue in the Promotion Regulation. Under the general rule of the Promotion Regulation, prohibiting any value transfer to HCPs during promotional activities, and under the AIFD principle mentioned under question 5.5 requiring the post-marketing studies to be distinguished from promotional activities, companies shall not include promotional content to post-marketing studies where the HCP receives a compensation for the services provided.

6 Advertising to the General Public

6.1 Is it possible to advertise non-prescription medicines to the general public? If so, what restrictions apply?

According to the Law No. 1262 and the Promotion Regulation, it is strictly forbidden to advertise medicines to the general public regardless of whether they are non-prescription or prescription-only medicines.

If a medicine is advertised to the general public, under article 18 of the Law No. 1262, an administrative monetary fine can be issued. The amount of this fine will be calculated according to the sale amount of the relevant product and the MoH shall have the power to decide to multiply this amount up to five times. In any case, the Law No. 1262 foresees that the amount cannot be less than TRL 100,000 (approx. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}}35,000}$). If the violation is repeated, the sanction will be duplicated. If the advertising is made on the internet, the MoH will immediately issue a blocking decision to be enforced by the Information and Communication Technologies Authority.

As per Article 19 of the Law No. 1262, persons advertising to the public health products that are not pharmaceuticals with the claim of diagnosis and treatment of diseases will be subject to a penalty of 1–5 years in prison.

6.2 Is it possible to advertise prescription-only medicines to the general public? If so, what restrictions apply?

Please see the answer to question 6.1.

6.3 If it is not possible to advertise prescriptiononly medicines to the general public, are disease awareness campaigns permitted encouraging those with a particular medical condition to consult their doctor, but mentioning no medicines? What restrictions apply?

There is a specific provision under the Promotion Regulation

in relation to MoH-initiated campaigns. Article 6(1) states that information on *products* that are to be used within campaigns initiated by the MoH, such as vaccination campaigns, campaigns to combat epidemics or campaigns deemed to be important to safeguard public health in general, can be provided to the general public, provided that the MoH has approved such information-sharing within the rules and principles applicable.

There is no specific provision preventing disease-awareness campaigns being initiated by a company where no reference is made to a certain product, but the matter shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Under the general rules, such campaign shall not create the impression or the outcome of promotion of a certain product, as otherwise the MoH may interfere with the campaign. It is therefore advisable to obtain the MoH's approval before initiating such campaigns.

6.4 Is it possible to issue press releases concerning prescription-only medicines to non-scientific journals? If so, what conditions apply?

According to Article 11/2 of the Promotion Regulation, a MA holder can publish a press release to general newspapers, only once. The press release must be addressed to HCPs and only for the launch of a certain product to the Turkish market.

The genuine copy of the announcement will be sent to the MoH for approval, and the size of a press release published in a newspaper will not exceed 1/8 of a full page.

For print periodicals, a press release may be run once within 30 days after the permission, dated according to the Promotion Regulation's Guidance.

6.5 What restrictions apply to describing products and research initiatives as background information in corporate brochures/Annual Reports?

Article 5(4) of the Promotion Regulation reads: "Institution approved PL/indications of products that have been approved by the Institution may only be published in media defined by the Institution, or in the marketing authorisation/licence holder's company website. Apart from these, no acts of public promotion or information providing, by partially or completely using Institution approved SmPC/PL/indications can be made."

6.6 What, if any, rules apply to meetings with, and the funding of, patient organisations?

The Law No. 1262 and the Promotion Regulation do not stipulate any specific rules on the relationship between pharmaceutical companies and patient organisations. The scope of such interaction is drawn by the general rule of MA holders not being allowed to have any direct contact with patients.

Under the general disclosure rule introduced by article 11(7) of the Promotion Regulation, the MA holders must disclose to the MoH all the value transfers i.e, donations, fee for service etc., made to the patient organisations, exceeding 10% of current gross monthly minimum wage.

The AIFD Code provides guidelines on interactions with patient organisations, where companies are allowed to provide support to or obtain services from these organisations within certain rules and principles. The AIFD requires a written contract and full transparency for such interactions, where all the information on the interaction will be open to the public.

6.7 May companies provide items to or for the benefit of patients? If so, are there any restrictions in relation to the type of items or the circumstances in which they may be supplied?

The companies are banned from direct contact with the patients for promotional purposes. Accordingly they cannot provide items to or for the benefit of the patients. They may provide items to the HCPs which would then be provided to the patients upon HCP's request and/or decision.

7 Transparency and Disclosure

7.1 Is there an obligation for companies to disclose details of ongoing and/or completed clinical trials? If so, is this obligation set out in the legislation or in a self-regulatory code of practice? What information should be disclosed, and when and how?

There is no obligation either in the legislation or in the self regulations, for companies to disclose details of ongoing and/or completed clinical trials at the moment, except for the disclosure of the value transfers made to HCPs and HCOs for conducting the clinical trial.

7.2 Is there a requirement in the legislation for companies to make publicly available information about transfers of value provided by them to healthcare professionals, healthcare organisations or patient organisations? If so, what companies are affected, what information should be disclosed, from what date and how?

As mentioned above, as a part of the amendments made to the Promotion Regulation in 2015, a disclosure requirement is introduced under article 11(7) of the Promotion Regulation which reads as follows:

"The Marketing authorization/license holder may transfer any values exceeding 10% of current gross monthly minimum wage to healthcare institutions and organizations, universities, healthcare professionals and professional organizations, unions, associations and foundations with activities in the healthcare industry of which they are members, and non-governmental organizations founded to preserve and improve health, provided that:

- a) The Marketing authorization/license holder notifies the Institution of all value transfers made within one calendar year, in a format defined by the Institution and in detail; within the first six months of the following year.
- b) For any value transfer to be made within this scope, the Marketing authorization/license holder receives written permission of the healthcare professional, and in other institutions and organizations of the authorized supervisor in order for the value transfer be accepted and notified to the Agency. The Marketing authorization/license holder may not realize any value transfer if written permission is not

Examples of all data and documents pertaining to the value transfer will be retained by The Marketing authorisation/licence holder for five years."

Under this provision, all MA holders are obliged by legislation to disclose certain value transfers made to HCPs and HCOs (including patient organisations) not to the general public, but to the MoH.

7.3 Is there a requirement in your self-regulatory code for companies to make publicly available information about transfers of value provided by them to healthcare professionals, healthcare organisations or patient organisations? If so, what companies are affected, what information should be disclosed, from what date and how? Are companies obliged to disclose via a central platform?

As the AIFD is a member of EFPIA, the AIFD incorporated into its Code the provisions set forth by the EFPIA Disclosure Code, which is binding to AIFD members.

Since January 1, 2015, each AIFD member company is required to track transfers of value related to prescribed pharmaceuticals made to HCPs and HCOs (healthcare institutions and HCP associations) including:

- a) contribution to costs of events (registration, accommodation, travel costs);
- fee for service and consultancy agreements (fees, expenses);
 and
- c) grants and donations.

The disclosure shall be made on an individual basis, where the name of the particular HCP/HCO is indicated alongside the relevant interaction and the related transfer of value. If individual disclosure is not possible for legal reasons, then the disclosure can be made on an aggregate basis. Cases where the HCP/HCO does not provide consent for use of their personal data and disclosure are considered to fall under these legal reasons under the national application. Value transfers in relation to research and development activities will be made on an aggregate basis as well.

Data collected for transfers of value occurring in 2015 will be disclosed, as yearly totals, within the first six months of 2016. The disclosure may appear on company websites or on a central platform.

Patient organisations are not included within the scope of these specific disclosure requirements. However, the AIFD Code has been providing provisions on full transparency for all interactions with patient organisations, including a value transfer to be individually and openly disclosed to the public even before the recently introduced disclosure scheme.

7.4 What should a company do if an individual healthcare professional who has received transfers of value from that company, refuses to agree to the disclosure of one or more of such transfers?

Article 11(7) of the Promotion Regulation specifically deals with the consent issue, and sets forth the consent of the HCP or the authorised person of the HCO for the disclosure to the MoH of the value transfer, as a prerequisite to any value transfer to be made.

Under this system, the value transfer can only be made upon the consent of the HCP or HCO for the MoH disclosure. In practice, the agreements containing a value transfer to HCPs/HCOs therefore include provisions requiring explicit consent for MoH disclosure, along with an explicit consent form attached to the agreement.

If the data subject withdraws its consent after transfer of the value, the transferred amount shall be returned to the MA holder, thus the agreements must be drafted with care to provide good practice on this delicate issue.

Regarding self-regulatory disclosure to the general public required by the AIFD Code on the other hand, as the consent requirement does not arise from the legislation, HCPs and HCOs are free to consent to such public disclosure or not. In case the consent is not given or withdrawn

at a later stage, the MA holder can still retain the relevant information to be publicly disclosed not on an individual (with the name of the HCP/HCO, with the value transfer amount) but on an aggregated basis.

The Internet

8.1 How is Internet advertising regulated? What rules apply? How successfully has this been controlled?

Advertisement of pharmaceuticals to the general public through any channel including internet is prohibited according to the Law No. 1262 and the Promotion Regulation Article 5/3. Besides the administrative monetary fines, in case the advertising is made on the internet, the MoH shall immediately issue an access-blocking decision to be enforced by the Information and Communication Technologies Authority.

It is accepted by the industry that the rules in the Promotion Regulation shall apply to promotion made through the internet as well. In this respect, the AIFD incorporated an annex to its Code which regulates digital communication. The User Guide on Digital Communication Applications in the Pharmaceutical Sector ("User Guide") sets the main principle on transparency.

As the control of the internet by the MoH is difficult due to the lack of regulation regarding this matter, the MoH is planning to work on amendments to be made to the Promotion Regulation to cover internet promotion.

8.2 What, if any, level of website security is required to ensure that members of the general public do not have access to sites intended for healthcare professionals?

There is no regulation regarding the level of website security for the access of users. In practice, some websites have a pop-up system warning that the page is only for HCPs and that if the visitor is not a HCP, the visitor must not click on the "continue" button.

However, the AIFD Code recommends a password mechanism on pages addressed to HCPs on which product information is available. Therefore, it is advised to insert statements such as "This section is intended for physicians/pharmacists" at the relevant pages and "Information on this website shall not replace consultation with a physician or pharmacist. Consult a physician and/or pharmacist for further information", in order to warn the user according to general advertisement rules.

8.3 What rules apply to the content of independent websites that may be accessed by a link from a company-sponsored site? What rules apply to the reverse linking of independent websites to a company's website? Will the company be held responsible for the content of the independent site in either case?

There is no specific provision under the Law regarding this matter. However, the AIFD Code recommends that companies should be careful regarding links from their website to other sites. Since the advertisement of medicine is prohibited, any kind of promotion of a medicine appearing on a link would be the company's responsibility. This responsibility is also burdened for reverse-linking of independent websites to company-sponsored sites.

Due to this heavy responsibility, it is advised not to provide links to dynamic websites with dynamic content, such as blogs or forums, wherein information constantly changes and compliance to the legislation is difficult to verify. In any case, users should be given clear indications when they are directed to another website sponsored by the company, and the relevant measures should be adopted to ensure that there is no content shown advertising a medicine.

8.4 What information may a pharmaceutical company place on its website that may be accessed by members of the public?

There is no specific regulation regarding the information to be placed on a pharmaceutical company's website, as the Promotion Regulation only regulates the promotion of medicines and does not cover the advertisement of the company itself. Therefore, financial information that may interest investors, investments and information on the stage of registrations, HR job opportunities and job application sections, press releases and declarations of the company not involving product promotion and intended for the general public, product lists and prices, areas of specialty, information about health conditions, advances in the medical field, contact details and similar information may be placed on the website.

Again, provided that a product promotion is not made, companies' websites are allowed to show information about diseases, disease prevention, screening and therapeutic methods and other information aimed at protecting public health. However, it is recommended by the AIFD Code to include a warning to the public stating that information on this page does not replace a consultation with a physician.

8.5 Are there specific rules, laws or guidance, controlling the use of social media by companies?

There is no specific regulation regarding the information to be placed on a pharmaceutical company's website, as the Promotion Regulation only regulates the promotion of medicines and does not cover the advertisement of the company itself. Therefore, financial information that may interest investors, investments and information on the stage of registrations, HR job opportunities and job application sections, press releases and declarations of the company not involving product promotion and intended for the general public, product lists and prices, areas of specialty, information about health conditions, advancements in the medical field, contact details and similar information may be placed on the website.

Again, provided that product promotion is not made, companies' websites are allowed to show information about diseases, disease prevention, screening and therapeutic methods and other information aimed at protecting public health. However, the AIFD Code recommends the inclusion of a warning to the public stating that information on this page does not replace a consultation with a physician.

9 Developments in Pharmaceutical Advertising

9.1 What have been the significant developments in relation to the rules relating to pharmaceutical advertising in the last year?

The most significant development has been the new Regulation on the Promotional Activities of Pharmaceutical Products for Human Use entered into force on July 3, 2015 replacing the old Promotion Regulation and introducing important provisions.

The most important provision introduced by the new Promotion Regulation relates to the obligation of disclosure to the MoH, of certain value transfers made to HCPs, HCOs, patient organisations and other healthcare-related NGOs, as explained in detail under question 7.2.

The Regulation has also changed the rules for support by MA holders for scientific meetings, namely by replacing the previous 3-2-1 rule – as it was referred to by the industry – with a new 4-2-2 quota system. Even though the provision stating that MA holders cannot directly or indirectly cover transportation and accommodation costs of participants to product promotion meetings organised by MA holders still remains in the Regulation, an exception has been included in the published Regulation. This exception allows MA holders to provide transportation and accommodation support to participants visiting product manufacturing facilities in Turkey, on the condition that such support is notified to the Institution in line with the 4-2-2 quota system.

The Regulation provides the opportunity of co-promotion, provided that the MA holder submits the agreement signed with the contract company to the Institution within 30 days as of the date of signature and that the required records are duly kept for five years and provided to the Institution when required.

The promotion activities of pharmaceutical products that have obtained MA but are procured from abroad on a prescription basis due to their non-availability in the market and have also been regulated. The Regulation states that pharmaceutical products that are procured from abroad within the scope of alternative reimbursement models implemented by the Social Security Institution are only allowed to be promoted with regard to pharmacovigilance of said products, whereas promotion is completely forbidden for other products that have been granted MA but are procured from abroad on a prescription basis due to their non-availability in the market.

9.2 Are any significant developments in the field of pharmaceutical advertising expected in the next year?

As the MA holders will be disclosing the value transfers made in the year of 2016 including the ones made during promotional activities, during the first six months of 2017, it may be expected that the MoH will review the outcome of the introduced disclosure scheme and amend the current provisions or introduce new ones.

There may also be the discussion of providing an additional mechanism for disclosure of the value transfers not only to the MoH but also to the public.

9.3 Are there any general practice or enforcement trends that have become apparent in your jurisdiction over the last year or so?

In the past years, there have been amendments in Law No. 1262 requiring heavy fines on companies not complying with the rules on sale and promotion of medicinal products. The Law introduced provisions specific to internet sale and promotion of healthcare products.

It is seen that the MoH intends to enforce these provisions, as the MoH in the past years has publicly announced heavy monetary sanctions against companies, publicly advertising products with a health claim, in a way to create the impression of a medicinal product.

In the field of promotion of licensed medicinal products on the other hand, the MoH shows the tendency to regulate more and more, with the most significance given to transparency. The MA holders are required to keep a record of all the information and documents in relation to their promotional activities and submit them to the MoH in various cases and even obtain pre-approval for certain activities. The tendency on the industry side can therefore be said to be more on the side of implementing into their internal systems, the notification and disclosure schemes introduced by the Promotion Regulation.



Özge Atılgan Karakulak

Gün + Partners Kore Şehitleri Cad. 17 Zincirlikuyu 34394 İstanbul Turkey

Tel: +90 212 354 00 00 Email: ozge.atilgan@gun.av.tr URL: www.gun.av.tr

Özge Atılgan Karakulak is a partner and the head of Gün + Partners' Lifesciences industry group. Her practice focuses on patent litigation, antitrust and public procurement. Özge has led much complex patent litigation against generic pharmaceutical companies and together with Mehmet Gün, the founder of the firm, the first-ever pharmaceutical data exclusivity actions in Turkey. She is highly experienced in all phases of the business cycle of life science products and advise, the Association of Research-Based Pharmaceutical Companies and the Association of Research-Based Medical Technologies Manufacturers in Turkey, on many IP and regulatory policy issues.



Ceren Aral

Gün + Partners Kore Şehitleri Cad. 17 Zincirlikuyu 34394 İstanbul Turkey

Tel: +90 212 354 00 00 Email: ceren.aral@gun.av.tr URL: www.gun.av.tr

Ceren is a counsel at Gün + Partners, with seven years of experience at the firm on life sciences, anti-corruption, competition and intellectual property practice areas.

Her life sciences experience focuses on compliance and anti-corruption matters. She counsels originator pharmaceutical and medical device companies on overall compliance and anti-corruption matters, with an emphasis on interactions with healthcare professionals, relations with third party providers, distribution channels, tender, reimbursement and pricing procedures, advertising/promotion issues and product liability. She assists multinationals in adapting local preventive procedures, trains their teams and takes part in their audits and internal investigations. Representing the trade associations at both national and EU level, she takes part in policy issues in the sector.

She is an experienced IP litigator, focusing on infringement and unfair competition cases within various sectors. Her experiences in competition practice place emphasis on distribution agreements, mock dawn raids, audits and M&A notifications.

GÜN + PARTNERS

AVUKATLIK BÜROSU

Gün + Partners was founded in 1986 and is now one of the largest law firms in Turkey, with over 65 lawyers, 140 in total with consultants and other supporting staff.

The firm is based in Istanbul, has offices in Ankara and Izmir, and provides transactional, advisory and dispute resolution services to local and international companies throughout Turkey and assists clients worldwide through its established network of correspondents and contacts. The firm's core areas of expertise are corporate and commercial, dispute resolution and intellectual property. It represents clients in numerous industry sectors, with a particular focus on life sciences, insurance and reinsurance, energy and natural resources, real estate and infrastructure, technology, media and telecoms. The firm's clientele is a blend of foreign and local, medium-sized and large corporations, as well as government organisations and NGOs worldwide, with the majority from the UK, US, Europe and Asia Pacific regions.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance

- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks

